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The purpose of Federal student aid programs.is to foste equality of opportunity. in. postsecondary education by lowering the economic barriers that prevent.greater participation. The measures of the aid programs' success.are improvements in access and choice for targeted populations.

The evidence on whether the aid expenditures are meeting thẹ objectives set by Congress is incomplete. Efforts to : evaluate accéss and choice have been limited by inadequate data and methodologies that do not adjust for the inflation that has cut into student's incomes and the value of financial aid awards during the l970s. Thís analysis makes such adjustments. Income categoriés and award values are both reported in 1981 dollars. * The study traces changes in student's participation in 1 higher education and in the Federal aid they receive. , The purpose of the study is to relate the pattern of Federal student aid disbursements to chángés in enróllment patterns among selected student g'roups. The Federal aid programs analyzed here, are:

- Pelí Grants (Basićeducational Oppoŕtúnity. Grants')
* O Supplementary Educational Opportunity Grants
- Guaranteed Student Loans
- National Diréct Studenter Loans
- College Wrok-Study.

Changes in the patterns of student enrollments in total, by income, dependency statu's, gender, 'race and age are related tio the distribution of awards made under the federal aid programs.

The analysis examines participation in postsecondary education for the years 1974 and 1981. Student/aid patterns.are also examined for the same period.. The conclusions drawn fromi. the findings are impressionistic. The study uses the be'st. available data to find common'pattern's in haw different groups have changed their participation in postsecondary.education and in the disbursement of Federal student aid dolilars.

Census data are used to measure access and choice. The participation rates reported in this study for 1981 represent the percentage of the college-eligible population who were enrolled in a postsecondary institution in 1974 or 1981.

The major findings on changing participation rates between 1974 and 1981 are:

- participation rates for all students $18-24$ were up. The participation rates for older students were up even more.
The participation rates for dependent students aged 18-24 held steady as did the participation rates for independent students in the same age group.
- Participation rates for dependent students in the lowest income categories (under $\$ 7,509$ ) fell more sharply than for any other income group.
- Participation of white students was up more than participation of blacks, which still showed a modest improvement:
- The participation rate for women was up, while that men was roughly the same as in 1974.
Data collected from entering.freshmen are used to evaluate the distribution of Federal aid. The data indicate, the following changes:
- The probability of the lowest income students receiving ;an award did not change appreciably since 1974. But higher inspme groups showed an increase in their probability of receiving an award. The higher the income, the greater the increase in probabilíity'.
- Low income studeht's were more likely to receive a smaller award ini 19811 than they were in 1974. Students in the $\$ 12,500$ and above income categories Were more likely to receive a larger income award
-) in 1981 than they were in 1974.
The proportion of students receiving a-grant
increased by 40 percent between 1974 and 1981 while the increase for self-help awards was up 126 percent.
- White students increasedin the proportion aided at a rate ' 5.5 times the increase for blacks. But blacks were still more likely to receive an award in 1981.
- Blacks. weré more likely to receive a small award in 1981 than they were in 1974; whites were more likely to receive a larger, award in 1981 than they did in 1974.
o. Men and women had the same shares of 'student aid in 1974. Women increased their share:slightly relative to men in 1981:
- Iwo-year public college students increased in the proportion aided more than any other sector. They were closely followed by, students in the four-year private sector. ' Students in the private sector were still more likely to receive assis'tance in 1981 than were public college students.
These findings suggest that Federal, financial assistance may indeed affect access and choice. The. strongest Felationship in the data is the decline, in, the size of student aid awards among lower income dependent students, aged 18-24, between 197.4 and 1.981. This relationship is consistent with the fact that lower incomé families lost purchasing pówer in relation to higher income. families. Both income categories and aid awards have been adjusted for inflation.

The complementary finding to this. decrease in. aid to lower income stadents is the increase in aid received by higher income students. Once again, this finding is consistent with the fact that, in general, the higher income groups sustained their participation rates somewhat better than did the lower income -groups.

In suggesting these relationships between aid and participation rates, it is important to remember that the lowest, income students were still more likely to receive aid than other : income groups, but that the gap narrowed between $1974^{\circ}$ and 1981. A large part of the increase in aid for middle, and upper income students was in the form of serf-help. Lower income students recejved more self-help aid, but still relied largely on grant aid.

It should be noted that there cwere more poor people in college in 198'1 than in 1974. The enrolled population with family income under $\$ 7,500$ increased from 3 percent zo 5 percent. of the total enrolled population.
$\times$ The impact of increasing aid on student access is difficult. to interpret. Overall participation rates of dependent students dropped between 1969 and 1974. . The trend was reversed between.

1974 and 1981. "Much of the declin from 1969 to 1974 could be. attributed to the deciline in participation rates of males following the end of the draft. The continuing decline of low income students' "participation rate may be attributed to the erosion of awards going to the lowest income population, while awards to every other income group have increased.

Independent student participation raṭes (aged, 18-24) showed a sharp increase between 1969 and 1974 . The rates, have been relatively istable since then. This is in the face of the fact; that a smaller proportion of the population was independent in 19.81 than was the case in 1974. "It is possible that early student aid programs were important in helping independent students attend college, but we have no data with which to explore this possibility.

Enrollment in college is a compléx behavior. Ít is infíluenced by shifting cultural values, changes in the labor. market, and changes in social policy. Student aid is but one factor among many, that influence enrollment behavior..Table of 'Content'sv
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The evidence on whether Federal student aid expenditures are meeting the objectives set by Congress i's incomplete. Federal aid programs are a commitment to increasing access to postsecondary education and to enhancing student choice by lowering the economic barriers that prevent greater participation.

This study traces changes in students' participation in higher education and in the Federal aid they receive. The' purpose of the study is to relate the pattern of Federal'student aid disbursements to changes in the enrollment pattern's among selected student groups. The Fedéral aid programs analyzéd here are;

- Pell Grants (Basic Educational Opportunity Grants)
- Supplementary Educational Opportunity Grànt's '
- Guaranteed Student Loans
- National. Direct. Student Loans -
- College Work-Study

Changes in the patterns of student enrollments in total, by income, dependency status, gender, race and age are related to the distribution of awards made undêr the fedèral aid proforams. The sum of student aid awards to, individual recipients is.used to yield the number of unduplicated award recipients.;

The analyses examine participation in postsecondary education for the years' 1974 and 1981. S'tudent ąid patíerns'are also examined for 1974 and 1981. The conclusionsidrawn from the findings are impressionistic.. The study uses, the best available data" to find common patterns in how different groups have changed their participation in postsecondary education and in the disbursement of federal student aid dollars. The means to $\therefore$ prove causal or correlational, relationships are not available.

## - Introduction

- Issuers in Federal Student Aid: a discusision of the effects of inflation on the analysis of student aid; the demographic changes which have occurred during, the. $1969-1981$ period; the changes in college costs
- Study Approach: an overview of the methodology employed in the analyses.
Study Findings
- Changes in postsecondary education participation between 1974 and 1981.
- Changes in Federal student aid awards distribution by awará size between 1974 and 1981.
- Conclusions


## Issues in Federal Student Aid

Federal student afd programs are derived from.the assumption that a, reduction to students in the cost of attendance would increase the rate at which targeted students participate a in postsecondarý, education, ali other things being equal. Thus, the central question is how much. Federal aia lower income students receive in relation to higher income students" and the relationship of that aid to studentsy costs. Answering this question mustr be preceded by the resolution of several issues.

## The Effect of Inflation

Whether students are abile to attend college depends, ion part, on the delicate balance of forces influencing family incomes, student aid availability and attendance costs. Inflation is onf of these oforces. Therefore; the effectiveness of Federal student aid in meeting its objectives ". ${ }^{\text {can }}$ be measured only after appropriate treatment of inflation in the data. A family whosé income increased from $\$ 10,000$ in 1974 to $\$ 18,000$ in 1981. had, at the end of that period, approximately the same purchasing power as they had in 1974'. A student aid award of $\$ 600$ in 1974 was more valuable than an ard of $\$ 1,060$ in 1981.

At the same time that inflation is eroding the value of family. incomes and student aid awards, it is increasing the costs of college attendance. Inflation was all too evident in the 1970's, the period when there were lag rage increases in Federal student aid funding.

One approach to the treatment of inflation is that used by Hansen (1982) in his study on the effectiveness of Federal 'student aid. ' H́añsén divided the population of families "with dependents aged $18_{-24}$ in $1971 / 1972$ and $1978 / 1979^{\circ}$ into two 1. "groups, one above and one below the median incomer. He then analyzed the proporirtion of dependents above and below the median income who attended college in each period. He justifies this. treatment on the grounds that financial aid eligibility extends approximately up to the median income. He also analyzed his. data by race, although it is not clear whether one median income or race-specific median incomes were used as the dividing point for all analyses. The finding of the study was one of "no clearcut effect of student financial aiding causing" the enrollmint. shifts which would be expected from the application of large sums of aid. Student aid was not analyzed by Hansen.

The study has'been criticized by Brememan (1982) and others for the insensitivity of the median split as a treatment for 'inflation A special analysis conducted as a step toward the present study suggests that an examination based on a median split of incomes in two periods masks significant shifts which have occurred within the national distribution of incomes. "

For the special analysis, the population of families with dependents aged 18-24 in 1972 was divided ${ }^{j}$ ito ten equal (in number of families) groups. The income levels separating the deciles were identified. These income levels were adjusted for the effect oof inflation between 1972 and 1980 . Then! the corresponding 1980 population was divided according to $\dot{i} t$ 'ss $^{\prime}$ reported incomes into the 1972 -adjusted decile income categories. The result indicated that 53 percent of the 1980 families fell. within the lower half of the inflation-adjusted categories. . In comparison to 1972, families in 2980 were more
likely to be found at the lower or upper end of the income distribution thà in the middle.

Another way of measuring the effects of inflation is to examine the shares of the aggregate income heid by families of ' different inebme categories. If the sum of incomes for"all families.in a particular income category in relation to the sum of incomes, in other categories falls between two years, then. that group of families' power in the marketplace has been eroded.

Table 1 provides the 1974 and 1980 income thares for families and unrelated individuals by race. The income of unrelated individuals is included because this group represents the subpopulation which includes many independent (from parental support) students. The "Change 74-8ø" line for each subpopula--tion 'indicates the differences in the subpopulations' 1974 and 1980 income shares.

The patterns of income shares are different for families and unrelated individuals: "The lower-in-income 40 percent of families had their share of aggregate income reduced between the two years in comparison to higher income families. Non-white : families lost more income share than did white families. In contrast, the unrelated group ${ }^{\top}$ losing the most income share was the highest income group.

Clearly, inflation has had differential effeqts on different groups in the population. sincèstudent aid is targetied to certain income groups, the effect of inflafion entails detailed analysses. Leslife (1977), in his study of the Pell Grant program, adjusted family income for inflation; while perhaps done too soon to be a definitive study of, the effectiveness of the pell Program, the study found a positive relation$\gamma_{0}^{*}$ iship between development of this, aid program and an increase in the representation of lower fincome students in postsecondary education: his study covered the years 1972 and 1975 .

Percent Share of Aggregate Income by quintile. Families and Unrelated Individuals 1974 and 1980

Quintiles


- All Families




## All Unrelated Individuals



White Unrelated Individuals.

| 1930 | 4.3 | 9.4 | 15.4 | 24.2 | 46.8 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 | 4.4 | 9 | 9.0 | 14.7 | 23.9 |
| Change .74-80 | -9.1 | 0.4 | -0.7 | 48.1 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.3 | -1.3 |  |

NonWhite Unrelated Individuals


Source: current population Reports, p=б̄̄, No. is:
: In 1981; the cQllege student population was 12.5 million, an increase of 36 percent from 1972. part-time enrollment is increasingly pópular. Rart-time enciollments have increased from 3.1 million to 5.2 million students'; up by 65 percent from 1972 to 1981. They now represent 41 percent of total headcount enrollment, a rise of 11 percent. (Dearman and'Plisko, 1982; American Council on Education, '1981).

Students were older in 1980 than in 1970 . The number of students over 35 rose from 824,000 to 1.4 million , an increase of 73 percent during the decade. This group was more likely to attend a postsecondary institution on a part-time basis. In contrast, postsecondary education saw only a 23 percent increase in the enrollments of 18-24 year old students over the same period.

Women were in the majority for all: enrollments in 1980. In 1970, women represented only 43 percent of total enrollment. By 1980, they had increased to 51 percent of the total. In 1970 , only 409,000 women over 25 were enroliled in institutions, of higher education. By 1980 , their number had more than doubled-to 915,000 , an increase of 124 percent. The number of 18-24 year old women students increased from 2.5 million to 3.6 million, a 43 percent increase over the decade. Several analysts have concluded that college deferments for draft-age men artificially inflated ale enrollment rates from the late 1960's through the mid-1970's, the period in which recent vêterans also used. their G.I. benefits, to attend coliege (Ed-Khawas and Henderson; 1982).

More blacks are enrolling in higher education. 'Their greater representation is related to a- 55 percent increase in the $u m b e r$ of black high. school graduates between 1970 and 1980. Black students on campus have increased by 65 percent, up from妾 1.6 million to 2.5 million.

- Standardized test scores have declined. This suggests that the preparedness of students for college has changed (Austin and Garber, '1982). The decline:may make it more difficult for .
students to stay in scḥool, impeding the Federal aid objective of encouraging. persistence in school.

Enrollment patterns as measured by family income and institutional type have alst changed in recent year aciording to Leslie (1977). Between 1969 and 1975, freshmen from families with incomes over $\$ 15,000$ (in 1975 constant dollars) increased their, share of enrollments by $3.3^{\circ}$ percent, to 66.2 percent of the population, Between, the same years, both the middle ingome population, with family income between $\$ 10,000$ and $\$ 15,000$, and the iow income category, below $\$ 10,0 \theta 0, .10 s t$ representatipn in postsecondary education, by 1.4 percent and 1.9 percent, respectively.

Frbm l969, low income stüdents' representation increased by 3.1"percent at two-year colleges, up to 29.3. percent in 1975; middle income representation actually declined four-tenths of a percent in the two-year category for the period, down to 28.8 percent. On the four-year level, low income students increased their representation by $1 . \theta$ percent, middle income. students were down by one percent," and high indome. students were-down by ninetenths of a percent, (Leslie, 1977).

## Changing Costs of College Attendance

The costs of college attendance lagged somewhat in relation to thé inflation rate between 1974 and 1981. National centér for Educationay Statistics figures (Grant and Eiden, 1982), adjusted for inflatrion, indicate that tuition charges for all public schools declined 9.9 percent between the two years. Private school tuition charges increased $2: 1$ percent.

## Changes in Federal Student Aid

This study examines only those Federal student aid programs administered by "the Department of Education. Table 2 shows the changes in these programs between 1974 and.1981. The last column in the table expresses the percentage change Erom. 1974 in

## Table 2

Federal Appropriations for Five Student

- Financial Assistance Programs
(in Millions of Dollars) 1974-1981

the prọgams after adjustment for inflation. Appropriations for the pell Grant program increased by afactor of ten during the period, after adjustment for inflation. The doilar volume of Guaranteed Student Loans (not a Federal appropriation) was three times as large in 1981 as it was in 1974 (also adjusted for inflation). SEOG and NDSL appropriations declined, while there was a modest increase in CWS funding.

In addition to the Department of Education assistance programs, other Federal aid programs for postsecondary education students have undergone considerable change over the period.

The Veterans Administration's estimated expenditures over the period have declined by 11.1 persent from $\$ 1.8$ billion dollars in FY 1973 to $\$ 1.6$ billion in FY 1981 (Veterans Administration, 1981). The recipient population went from $1,650,000$ to 736,000 . But, as measured from the peak in $F Y$ 1975, when benefits were. $\$ 3.2$ billion distributed to. $1,696,000$. 'students; there has been a 50 percent deciine (without adjustments for inflation).

Social Security benefits have also changed in the amount of aid allocated and the number of beneficiaries. In FY.1970, Social Security benefits for postsecondary education amounted to $\$ 393$ million and were used by 424,000 students. Benafits (\$856 million) and aided students (611,000) were higher. in 1975. By 1981, benefits increased further to $\$ 1.882$ million; while the number of aided students declined to 601, 000 . Expressed in 1981 dollars, the increases in the aid appropriations were 57.'2 percent between 1970 and 1975 , and 30.2 percent between 1975 and. 1981 (Office of the Actuary, 1982).

- State aid for need-based undergraduate scholarships also. increased over the period. The number of states and Eerritories providing such aid; the amount of dollars allocated (unadjusted for inflation), and the number of students served, all increased.

In 1969-1970, 19 states and territories had need-based programs. They spent $\$ 199.9$ million on 470,000 students:. By - 1974-75, 81.3,000 students in 37 states and territories were receiving $\$ 440.8$ million. Estimates for 1981-82 are that all 57 states and territories had aid programs. They spent $\$ 963.6$ million on $1,330,000$ recipients (Annual Survey, 1982).

## Approach

This study seeks to identify the relationship between 'participation in postsecondary education and"students' receipt of Federal studentraid. The relationships discoovered are the result of thrèe separate analyses. ${ }_{f}$ First, participation in postsecondary éducation by selected grôups was determined for 1974 and 1981: "second, the distribution of student raid awards by size of abard for the same groups was also determined for 1974 and i981. The Eindings of these analyses are reported in Section II of this papert Third, the participation rate and student aid findings were compared to determine if similar patterns occurred in both data sets. The result of this " analysis is reported in Section III.

## Definition of Measures

This study employs data from. two data sets: the Current Population Survey (CPS) from the Bureau of the Census for October 1974 and 1981, and the Freshman Norms surveys (CIRP) of the American Council on Education (ACE) and of the Graduate School of Education, University of California at Los Angeles, for 1974 and 1981. The CPS data are used to determine the". proportion of the popilation, in total or by subpopulation, which was àtending pośssecondary institutions in 1974 and 1981. The CIRP data are used to determine the distribution of selected student aid awards" by award size for the student population and for selected subpopulations in 1974 and 1981. Each of the measures used to reflect this datia is defined below.

Participation Rate. This is the meâsure used to assess the proportion of the entire "population or of subpopulations who are attending college. It is a ratio where the denominator is the total number of individuals in the population or subpopulation and the numerator is the number of individuals from the population or subpopulation enrolled in postsecondary institutions. For all but one of the participation raṭe analyses, the population is limited to the college-eliqible population. That is,
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the denominator of the ratio was defined as those individuals who were civilian, were not institutionalized; had graduated from high school, had not completed college and were not enrolled in graduate school. The exception is the analysis of participation rates by age. In this case, the denominatior is the total civilian, non-institutionalized population in the relevant age groups.
: The numerator of the participation ratio is the number of individuals (defined by the characteristics mentioned in the specific analyses) attending a postsecondary institution at some point during the school term in whol the survey was conducted. Studentes not planning to attend for, ther'remainder of the term are defined as not enrolled. This definítion of enrollment differs from the definition of enrollment used by the National Center for Educationál statistics (NCES) and leads to lower o counts of students. The NCES count of enrollment is taken at fall registration, the peak enroliment time.

Distribution of Awards. Data on student aif awards are taken from the CIRP. surveys of freshmen, the Freshmen Norms data. The unit of analysis employed in this study is the individual student. -Students are first divided into aided and nof-alded groups. Aid awards for those who rective awards are aggregated to yield the unduplicated award, distribution for the group under study: : Thus, the student who receives both a pell Grant, and a Supplementary Education Opportunity grant is counted as one recipient of grant awards:

Furthermore, that aid is aggregated into the categories * "Grant Awards," "Self Help Awards" and "Total Awards." The Grant×Awards category reports the number of recipients who received either pell' 'Grants or Supplementary Educationai. -.. Opportiunity Grants or both. The Self Help Awards category reports the number of recipients who received. National Direct Student Loans, Guaranteed Student Loans and/or. participated in the College Work-Study program." The Tötal Awards ciategory represents all five programs.

[^1]
## Study Populations

Income Levels.s. Student aid disbursements are directed to targeted groups. Lower income groups should receive more aid than higher income groups. Consequently, analysis of student. aid must consider the income levels of reaipients. All añalyses done for this study considered the income dimension". Groups within the general population were divided into nine income categories, from under $\$ 6,000$ to over $-\$ 50,000$, to determine college-going participation rates. The analyses of student aid• awards consider six levels of income, from under- $\$ 6,000$ to.... $\$ 40,000$ and over.

The analyses, of income and award size conducted for this stưdy feflect adjustment for the effect of infliation. All dollar figures used in the following analyses are stated in 1981 dollars. The 1974 population was redistributed into 1981 income categories to.eliminate the effect of inflation-based brackét creep on participation rate analyṣes. The distribution of income for student aid recipients in 1974 was similarly adjusted. so that family income in 1974 would be comparable to family income in 1981. Student aid awards for $1974^{-}$were inflated to 1981 dollars.

The incomes, reported from both the CPS and the CIRP data are likely to be understatements of actual incomes. Both surveys employ a single question to determine income. It Has been shown that use of a single question to assess income is likely to produce undercounts of income.

Population Characteristics. The majority of the analyses made in this study are based on the dependent population between the ages of 18 and 24. The current analysis employs the following divisions:

## - Total population

to show overall trends in college participation and in distribution of student aid awaris.

## - Division of Dependency Status

to difeerrentiate between two economically distinct student groups: Dependent students are students who
have the support of their parents in attending, college. Their attendance in college does not'reduce family income their own work may increase family int "come. In contrast, independent students usually must sacrifice income and lose time available for work, in order to attend school. Independent *students are single individuals ${ }^{\text {and }}$ married individuals who are no longer supported by their parents." "Individuals over $2 f$ years old are assumed to bexindepéndent.

## - Diviśion,by;Race

Hero determine the differential effects attributable to race. The reported data cover only the white and black subpopulations. The data on other groups, for example; oriental, are not reported, because of their relatively small numbers in the underlying samples. o. Division'by Gender
to capture the effects of the observed increase in 'women''s participation in postsecondary education. '/

- Division by Age
to reflect the greater participation in postsecondary education of "rion-traditional", students, that is students 25 years of age and older. "This effect is noted, but the analysis is not pursued for lack of adequate student aid data on, the older population. - Division. by Fuil-time and Par.t-time Study
to trace the growth of part-time study, an important phenomenon in higher education. Unfortunately, the data to carry out this analysis fury are not available.


## Inflation Adjustment. Technique

Dollar values used in this study, are expressed in 1981 dollars. That is income and student aid award data'for 1974 were inflated to create comparability for the two years. The procedures used to inflate the 1974 income and award values are similar', although not' identical.

Income values were adjusted in a three-step procedure. Income is reported in thoth and CIRP in the form of number of individuals with income falling within income classes. . The first step taken, then, was assignment to each unit (family or individual) an income value. This was done through application of established general relationships on the distribution of. units within income ciasses. Thus, the income ciass $\$ 5,000-$. $\$ 9,999$.will have more units clustered near the $\$ 9,999$ value than near the $\$ 5,090$ value. Correspondingly, the $\$ 25,000-\$ 49,999$. income class is more densely populated at the lower end than at the higher end. Use of the overall distribution of family or individual incomes permits assignment of a specific income value to each unit within an'income class.

Once this assignment has been made, the assigned income values are multiplied by the inflation factor to arrive at the 1981-equivalent incomes. Finally, the inflated income yalues are then used to distribute the ? units to income ciasses. . In general, this technique-following the overall distribution of incomes in the population-results in more inter-income ciass movement at lower income levels than at higher income levels.

The three-step procedure was also used to redistribute student, aid award values. However, in the case of the awards data", all awards within an award class were assigned the value of the mid-point of the class. All awards over $\$ 2,00 \underline{0}$ (the highest class) were assĭgned the value of $\$ 2,500 \cdot$ The overall distribution of awards is an unknown, making imposible use of a more discriminating assignment procedure. The error introduced by this procedure is minimized through the application of the three-step procedure to each award separately before awards are faggregated to avoid double counting of aid recipients.

## Limitations on the Data

The data are limited because of the means by which they were collected. Both sources of data are surveys. The methodologies uṣed by both Censús and AÇE in expanding their survey results to national totals have implications for the
reliability of the resulting estwates. In general, more aggregáted levels of the data are more reliable than the more disaggregated data. Readers are referced to the source reports listed in the Bibliography for further information.

A change between $1-974$ and 1981 in how the dependency status of students was assessed in CIRP poses a second difficulty. In 1974, students were, asked only one question to determine depend.ency. More than a quarter of all students indicated. they were - independent of parental support in 197.4. The 1981. question- , naire had three questions.r. all of which had to be answered in the affirmative for assignment to independent status. Only seven percent of the $1981^{\circ}$ population was assigned to the independent status category, This definitional change renders meaningless any comparisons of the distributions of student aid for independent students.in the two years. Only the 1981 data are reliable.
$\qquad$

This section reports the findings of this study. The results obtained from the analysis of participation rates, the CPS data, are reported first. Then the findings on the distribution of student aid from the CIRP data are reported.

## Participation'Rates

The partícipátion rate for the dollege-eligible population aged , 18-24 increased from 26.4 percent in 1974 to 28 percent in 1981. This increase was not evenly experienced by the different subsets of the population. The different results are reported in Táble 3.

It is evident from these results that female students .increased participation the most, while participation by black and independent students increased glightly. Male participation decIined somewhat.

Participation by Income.
Because the income characteristics of "dependent and independent students are dissimilar; it is not appropriate to consider them together in determining participation rates. Dependent stüdents are assigned to the income categorycof their parents. Independent students are assigned to an income catè-! gory on the bas is of their persorial income. This assignment practice tends to cluster the independent studentis in the lower income categories. This is riot surprising since 18-24 year:old students generally have smaller incomes than parents of dependent $1822 / 4$ year old students.

Family-income is not reduced by the enrollment-of a dependent in ' $\dot{c o l l l e g e . ~ H o w e v e r ', ~ i n d e p e n d e n t ~ s t u d e n t s ~ " m a y ~ h a v e ~ t o ~}$ reduce their income in,order to attend college. Both full-time, employment and full-time attendance at college would pe required of independent students for meaningful comparisons income category with dependent students:

Table 3

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { College-going participation Rates } \\
1974,1981
\end{gathered}
$$



All Students
.26. 4
41.3
10.4
26.3
23.2.
30.2
23.0

All Dependent Students
All' Independent Student:"
All White Students
BoAll Black Students All Mále Students
:All Female Ṣtudents
28.0 , +6.1

## isource: Cps, 1974, 1985:

Participation rates of dependent and independent students aged 18-24 differ sharply (Table 4). A dependent student was roughiy four times as likely to go to college as an independent student in 1974 and 1981. . Overall, the participation rates of the two groups was virtually unchanged.

There are differences in participation rates among the dependent students by income categories. There was a decline among students in the income group $\$ 0$ - $\$ 7,500$, while there was an increase for almost all the other income categories. The only exception was the small decline noted for the income group $\$ 12,500-\$ 15,000$. Generally speaking, the group with the largest financial need and the lowest participation rates experienced the greatest deciline.

Interpreting participation rates for independent students by income category can be misleading: The number of cases in. each category is somewhat smaller for independent students than for dependent students and, therefore, more apt to reflect variation due to sampling error. The large number of students in the lowest income category reflects the large number of students who gave up their income to $90^{\circ}$ to college.

## Participation Rates of Dependents by Race

The overall-participation rates for dependent blacks has declined since 1974 and inçeased slightly for. whites. The largest decline for blacks was in the income categories under $\$ 12,000$. This is also the group with the largest proportional. increases for whites,

Blacks in the income group $\$ 12,000-\$ 25,000$ were at least as likely as whites in the same income graups to go to college. Blacks in these categories showed the strongest gains in' participation. 'In the higher income groups, blacks again showed a dectine relative to whites. (The Kighest income group has relatively few blacks and thus is more sensitive to sampling efror.) Overall, blacks lost ground to whites as measured by pfarticipation rates. This was especially true for the lowest income groups. Changes in the participation rates forblacks:

## Table 4

Participation Rates of Dependent and Independent Students by Income 1974, 1981


Source: CPS, 1974, 1981.
and white students were very dissimilar by income category.. Table 5 presents data for dependent students by race.

Table 6 shows the changes in participation rates for independent students by race. Independent whites remained about the same over the period, while black independent students showed ant increase $\therefore$ Independent blacks àre slightly more ilkely to go to college-than whites.

## Participation by Gender

In both 1974 and 1981, participation rates for dependent women exceeded those for dependent men; except in the lowest income category. Male participation rates declined over the period, while those for women increased.
participation rates for men dropped in every income category except one since 1974. The biggest losses were in the lowest income groups. Table 7 details the differences in participation rates for males and females between the two years.

The changes in participation rates for independent males; and females are ${ }^{\text {mimilar to those for dependents. Men's rates }}$ declined, while women's increased.-It should be noted that independent males were still twice as likely to attend college in ${ }^{2} 81$ than were independent females, although women in this age group are twice as likely to be defined as independent than men. Table 8 contains the participation rates by gender for ." indeperident students.

Participation Rates for Public and Private Institutions
Public colleges showed a decline in participation rates, while private colleges had an increase between 1974 and 1981. The decline in participation in public colleges was greatest for the row income population.

The magnitude of change in the private seotor by incone categories should be interpreted carefully because of the relatively smali percentages participating in the low income groups. Low income students are not very likely to go, to a private college: For example, in 1981, for every 100 individuals

## Table 5

Participation Rates of Dependents by Race
by Income
1974, 1981


Source: CPS, 1974, 1981.

Participation Rates of Dependent Males and Females by Income 1974, 1981
 Source: $\mathrm{QPS}, 1974,1981$.

Table 8

## Participation Rates by Gender. Independent Students

1974, 1981

in the income group, $0-\$ 6,000,21.7$ attended a, public college and 2.7 attended a private college. It is only in the highest income categories that the participation of students in private colleges begins to approximate that of the public sector. It 'should also be kept in mind. thate private college enrollments are significantly smaller than pubiic enrollments in absolute terms. Table 9 contains information on participation rates for dependent students in public and private schools.,

Table 10 indicates that independent.students increased participation in private institutions, while dropping slightly in public schools over the period. Independent students were still more. likely to attend a public college rather than a private college.

## Participation of older Students

The enrollment of older students in college increased
 over represented 27.8 percent of total college enrollment. The total number of enrolled adults aged 25 and óver for 1974 is unknown, since adults aged 35 , and over were not counted then as enrolled by the Bureau of the Census.:

Table 11 summarizes the demographic shift which has occurred in the college-going population as well as in the population at large. The population figures for, 1974 and 1981 reported here are for the total civilian, non-institutionalized. population. These figures are higher than those reported on. other tables because the college-going participation rate analyses for ages 18 to 24, above, use only the computed ćollege-eligible population as the basis for analysis.

The college-going participation rates shown in Table 11 reveal the change which has taken place in the composition of要䄍

## Table 9

Participation' Rates of Dependent Students * at Public and Private Institutions by Income 1974, 198.1

Public -
Income Category

| $\theta$ - 6.0 | 25.5 | 21.7 | -14.9 | 1.8 | $2: 7$ | +55.6\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.0-7.5 | 24.1 | 21.7 | $-10.0$ | 6.6 | 4.9 | -27.3 |
| 7.5-10.0 | 26.1 | 25.8 | -1.1 | 4.1 | 9.4 | +129.3 |
| $10.0-12.0$ | 25.9 | 25.4 | -2.3 | 3.7 | 8.1 | +118.9 |
| 12.0 -. 15.0 | -25.4 | 25.0 | -1.6 | 5.6 | 4.9 | -12.5 |
| 15.0-20.0 | 24.1 | 25.0 | +7.5 | 9.1 | 8.2 | -11.0 |
| $20.0-25.0$ | 28.0 | 29.5 | +5.4 | 8.2 | 8.7 | +6.1 |
| .25.0-50.0 | 32.9 | 32.2 | -2.1 | 10.6 | 12.4 | +17.9 |
| $50.0+$ | 41.3 . | 38.9 | -5.8 | 20.5 | 24.1 | $+17.0$ |
| Undefined | 31.8 | 35.8 | $+12.3$ | 11.3 | 10.1 | -11.5 |
| Total | 31.0 | 30.1 | -2.9 | 10.3 | 10.9 | $L+5: 8$ |

Table 10
Participation Rates of Independent Students -by Public and Private Sector 1974, 1981

1974 . $1981^{\circ}$ \% Chänge


1 $\quad$ r


Demographic Summary
Participation Rates for the tivilian Non-institutionalized population by Age 1974. 1981

college enrollments: The participation rate for the "traditionai" coliege-age group (aged 18-24) increased 6.6 percent between 1974 and 1981. The enrollment of persons aged 18-24 has grown lessirápidyy thán the increase in the population.

The probability that an adult aged 25 or. more wôtid attend. college was greater in 1981 than it was in 1974 , even though there hás been a dip for the $25-29$ group. For example; the popolation aged $3 \bar{g}$ to. 34 increased 37.2 percent durding this period. The enrollment of this group, however, increased 75.2 percent. These two factors result.in.an increase in the participation rate for the group of 27.7 percent.

The college-going participation rate for the entire popu-. lation over 18 years has increased. The available data indicate thät increase to be 16.9 percent. This figure is undoubtedly an oversitatement, because of the unreported 1974 enrollments.
\& However, any reasonable imputation of the emissing 1974 entollment figures. would still show a positive overiall change in participation rates.

The change in the elationship between age and college participation rates implies that a student's age is less and less a predictor of college edroliment.

## Student Financial Assistance

This section, looks only at awards received by. students from the Department" of Education" in 1974 and 1981. "The aid programs are $\begin{aligned} & \text { Clollege Work-Study (CWS), Pell, Grant (BEOG), Guaranteed }\end{aligned}$ Student Loan (GSL); National Direct student Loary (NDSL), and Supplementary Education Opportunity Grants (SEOG). The aid is reported by total and divided into Grant Awards (SEOG and BEOG), and Séif-Help Awards (NDSL, GSLi, and CWS). All 1974 dollars. have been corrected for inflation to 1981 doliars; thus, award and income distriputions are comparable for the two years.

## Total Student Aid Awards

As shown by Table :12, the biggest. growth in the percentage of students aided between 1974 and 1981 was in the higher income levels: Among lower income students, there was either a decline in the percehtage of students aided or a smaller increase than : that for the higher income students.

Lower income students were more likeily to receive a smaller. award from all sources combined in 1981 than they were in $1974 \times$ (Table 13.) They also wete more likely to receive an award of less than $\$ 1,000$ in 1981 than was the case in $1974 \ldots$ Higherincome students in 1981 were more likely to receive a total award exceeding $\$ 1,000$ than they were in 1974: Note that in 1981, the over $\$ 40.000$ family income group which received aid was likely to receive an award between $\$ 2,000$ and $\$ 3,000$ in 56.9 . percent of the cases.

Table 14 , reviews the distribution of grant aid by income groups. Grant aid is comprised of BEOG and SEOG funds. The percentage of the population receiving this aid increased from 19.4 percent to 27.3 percent between 1974 and 1981 . The we was an increase in the proportion of students receiving grant aide all categories except the lowest-income category ( $\$ 0-\$ 5,999$ ); where there was decrease of 9.3 percent in the number of rant awards. Ali recipients were more likely to reçeive ámaller award in 1981 than in $1974^{\prime}$ (Table 15)'.

## Table 12

Percent Aided by. Income: Total Award 1974, 1981



Source: Freshman Norms 1974, 198.1.

Table 13
Distribution of Total Award by Income and Size 1974, 1981.

## Award Size



Source: Freshman Norms: 1974, 1981.
$\therefore 33-$
44

Table 14

## Percent Aided by Income: Grant Awards 1974; 1981



Source: Freshman Norms: 1974, 1981. F

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \% \\
& \text { f. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Table 15

Distribution of Grant Awards. by Income and size 1974, 1981.

## Award"Size

| Income Category | Year | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1 \div \\ 999 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,900- \\ & 1,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,000- \\ & 2,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,000- \\ & 4,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{5}, 000 \\ & \text { Plus } \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 等 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% - | 1981'. | 43.57 | 40.23 | 10.40 | 5.7 .9 | 0.00 | 109.0 |
| 5,999 | 1974 | 21.36 | 42.77 | 19.87 | 12.66 | 3.33 | 100.0. |
| 6.800- | 1981. | 47,94 | 38.96 | ' 8.89 | 4.21 | 0.00 | 10.0 .0. |
| 12,499 | 1974 | 37.95 | 37.42 | 15.25 | 8.24 | 2.04 | 100.0 |
| 12,500- | $1981{ }^{\circ}$ | 59.30 | 31.30 | 6.50 | 2.89 | 0.00 | 189.0 |
| 19,9.99 | 1974 | 46.12 | 34.12 | 12.56 | 5.74 | 1:39 | 160.0 |
| 20,000- | 1981 | 68.67 | 23.89 | 5.77 | 1.67 | 0.00 | 190.0 |
| 29,999 | 1974 | 50.63 | 30.22 | .11.52 | 6.11 | 1.62 | 100.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| $30.000-$ | 1981 | 65.30 | 24.29 | 8.79 | 1.62 | 0.00 | 1.00,0 |
| 39,999. | 1974 | 57.73 | 22.49 | 9.84 | 7.50 | 2.44 | 100.0 |
| 40,000 | 1981 | 58.99 | 26.80 | 12.77 | $\because 2.34$ | 0.00 | 100.0 |
| plus | 1294 | 50.10 | 22.7.4 | 10.46. | 10.26 | 6.44 | 100.0 |
| Inde- | 198.1 | 50.89 | 37.54 | -7.85 | 3.72 | 0.00 | 100.0 |
| pendent | 1974 | 37.42 | 35.71 | 14.37 | 9.25 | 3.25 | 100.0 |
| No | 1981 | 57.28 | 30.76 | 8.67 | $3.29{ }^{\prime}$ | ロ. $0 \square$ | 100.0 |
| Reply | 1974 | 41.63 | 33.22 | 14.93 | 8.42 * | 2.69 | 100.0 |
| Total | 1981 | 56.70 | 32.22 | 7.89 | 3.19 | 0.06 | 100.0 |
|  | 1974 | 42.09 | . 33.64 | 13.60 | 8.69 | 2.58 | 100.0 |

Source: Freshman Norms: 1974., 1981:

The largest increases in student aid have, come in the form of self-help, that is, loans and work programs (Table 16). There is a subsidy associated with ioan programs because students receive an:interest rate less than that available on the market. It is evident from Table 16 that all income goups. were using more self-help in 1981 than in 1974. The increase has been particularly sharp at the higher income groups.

The probability that a, student received a self-help award of $\$ 2,000$ to $\$ 2,999$ increased, in general, as the family income. of the student increased. (Table 17). This award size category is. the only instance in which the probability of receiving aid increases as income increases. The phenomenon is evident in both 1974 and 1981, but is most pronounced in the 1981. data. It reflects the utilization of guaranteed student loans by higher income students. Note that 62.4 percent of students in the $\$ 40,000$ and over income category who received selfohelp awards fall in this award size category.

The utilization of guaranteed student loans is not as pronounced among lower income students. Almost three quarters of the students in the lowest income category received less than $\$ 2,000$ in self-help awards.

## Student Aid by Race

In general, black students were more likeiy than whites to receive aid in ali income categories in 1981 (Table 18). However, the proportion of whites aided has increased more than the proportion of blacks aided between 1974 and 1981. The lowe'st white income population group showed a loss in the proportion ofstudents aided. Blacks in the same income category showed an increase of only 5.1 percent in the proportion of students aided.

White and black students had their award size affected differently between 1974 and 1981. White students were more likely to have a small award, that is, under $\$ 1,060$, in 1974, while black students were more iikely to have a small award in 1981. Awards for whites grew significantly in the $\$ 2,000-\$ 2,999$ -36-

Table 16
Percent Aided by Income: Self-Help Award 1974, 1981


Source: Freshman Norms: 1974, 1981:
$\therefore$.

## Table 17

Distribution of Self-Help Awards by Income and size 1974, 1981


Source: Freshman Norms: 19.74, 1981.

[^2]
© Source: Freshman Norms: 1974, 1981.
category, as compared to blacks, whose award size remained about the same in the two years (Table 19).

## Student Aid-by Gender

In. 1974, men and women were about equally likely to receive aid (Table 20). In 1981, 48.6 percent of the 'women received aid compared to 45.7 percent of the men. Women gained slightly in the probability of receiving aid in relationto men. Overall, the changes in the proportion of both men and women who received aid were greater as family income increased. At the $\$ 40$ " $\sigma \theta$ ond higher income level, the probability of reçeiving an award was approximately three times greater in 1981 then it was in 1974. There was no appreciable difference in award size between the sexes in either 1974 or 1981. Similarly, there was little difference between'men and women in either year in the mix of grant aid and self-help. Women have gained slightly in both categories.in comparison with men.

## Student Aid by Institutional Type and Control

The proportion of students receiving aid was higher for those in private colleges than those in public colleges in 1974 (Table 21). That continued to be the jcase in 1981. But the greatest percentage increase was for students in two-year public institutions, followed closely by four-year private colleges.

The largest increase in the utilization of self-help aid has been in two-year public colleges, followed closely by selfhelp increases in four-year private colleges. Table 22 details the changes in types of asșistance by institutional., type and control between 1974 and 1981:. Two-year public colilege students and four-year private college students report the flargest increases in self-help utilization. while four-year public students report the largést Ḱncrease in grantaid.

REVIEW DRAFT: $\quad$ 1/28/83

## Table 19

> Distribution of Total Awards to White, and Black students by Award Size $1974,198 l$

## White.

## Black

$\because \quad$ Award size


Source: Freshman Norms: 1974, 1981.


Percent of Men and Women Students Aided by Income: Total Award 1974, 1981


Source:- Meshman Norms: 1974, 1981.
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Table 21
Percent of students Aided by Institutionial Type and Control 1974 . 1981


Source: Freshman Norms: 1974, 1981
$\therefore$ Distrịution of Student Aid by Iñstitutional Type and Control. 1974, 1981


Source: Freshman Norms: 1974, 1981.

## CONCLLUSIONS

Our results suggest certain relationships between student aid and perticipation rates which may be useful in guiding further investigations. Our information is descriptive. We cannot prove that there is a necessary causal relationship between student financial assistance. and participation rates.

The major findings on changing participation rates between 1974 and 1981 are:

- Participation rates for all students $18-24$ were up. The participation rates for oldet students were up even more.
- Participation rates for dependent students in the lowest income categories (under $\$ 7,500$ ) fell more sharply than and other income group.
- The participation rates for dependent students aged 18-24 held. steady as did the participation rates for independent students in the same age group.
- Participation of white students was up more than the participation of blacks, which still showed a modest improvement.
The participation rate for women was up while that . for men was roughly the same as it was in 1974 .
The results on the utilization of Federal student assistance indicatee the following changes:
- The probability of the lowest. income students receiving an award did not change appreciably since 1974: But higher income groups showed. an increase in their probability of receiving an award.. The higher the income, the greater the increase in probability.
- Low income students were more likely to receive a smaller award in 198.1 than thay were in 197.4. Students in the $\$ 12,500$ and above income categories tor were more likely to receive a larger award in 1981 than they were in 1974.
- 'The proportion of students receiving' a grant increased by 40 percent between 1974 and 1981 , while the increase for self-help awards was up 126 percent.
0 "White students increased in the proportion aided, at a rate 5.5 times the increase for blacks. But

> blacks were still more likely to receive an award in l98.1.
o. Blacks were more likely to.receive a small award in 1981 than they were in 1974; whites werè more likely to receive a larger award in 1981 than they did in 1974.

-     - Men and women had the same shares of student aid in 1974. Women increased their share slightly relative to men in 198 .
- Two-year public college students increàsed in the proportion aided more than any other sector. They wereclosely followed by students in the four cyear private sector. Students in the private sector were still more likely to receive assistance.in 1981 than were public. college students.


## Student Access

The strongest relationship in the data we have studied is the decline in college-going participation and in the number and size of student aid awards among lower income dependent students aged 18-24 between 1974 and 1981. This relationship is consistent with the fact that lower income families lost purchasing* power in relation to higher income families. Both income. categories and aid awards have been adjusted for inflation'.

The complementary finding to this decrease in aid to lower income students is the increase in afd received by higher income. students. Once again this finding. is consistent with the fact that, in general; the higher income groups sustained their. participation rates somewhat better than did the lower income groups.

In suggesting these relationships between aid and paricipation rates, it issimportant to remember that the lowest income students were still more likely to receive aid than other income groups e but, that the gap narrowed betwee 1974 and 1981. A large part of the increase in aid for middle and upper income students was in the form of self-help. Lower incone students received more self-help aid, but still'relied largely on grant aid. It should"be noted that there were more poor people in College in 1981 than in 1974. The encolled population with
family income under $\$ 7,500$ increased. from 3 percent to 5 percent of the total enrolned. population.

The evidence indicates that the bulk. of the decline among low income dependent students was due to reductions in the participation rates of blacks: Low income. whites increased their participation rates over the period.

In 1974, blacks were more likely than whites to go to college in all income categories up to $\$ 20,000$. In 1981 , there was a change. Blacks were less likely than whites to go to college if their income was under $\$ 12,000$ - Blacks still showed a higher probability of attending colilege in the income $A$ categories $\$ 12,000$ to $\$ 20,000$. The rates for the $\$ 20,000-25,000$ Category 'were almost even. "In the higher income groups blácks were less likely than whites to go to college.

The differences between the two racialgroups continue for independent students. Independent blacks increased their participation rates while whites held steady. It is worth noting that orites were more likely to be independent than blacks.

These differences in participation rates may be looked at in another way that is not reported in the findings, but is available from the data bases. Blacks made up 55 percent of the enrolled population with a family income under $\$ 7,500$ in 1974 . That share dropped to just over 39 percent in 1981.

These enrollment changes occurred during a time when poor blacks were growing as a portion of the population. The black population 18 to 24 years of age has grown by 24 percent since 1974 while the growth of the white population has been eight percent. Blacks were much more likely to be poor. They made up over 44 percent of the population under $\$ 7,500$ in 1974 and over. 47 percent in 1981.

The conflicting results for the black and whitem income groups are not easily explained by analysis of the student aid datạ. . Blacks were more likely to receive aid in 1981 than were whites but the rate of increase in participation in aid programs was greater for whites than blacks. The size of the award
recelved by blacks has been eroded more by fnilation than for. whites. Blacks, were more likely to receive, a'smaller award than whites in 1981. This is a reversal of the 1974 situation in which blacks were more likely to receive a ierger award. .

The impact of increasing aid on student access is difficult to interpret. Participation rates of dependent students dropped over all between 1969 and 1974. That atrend was reversed between 1974 and 1981; Much of the decline from 1969 to 1974 could be attributed to the deciine in participation rates of males: following the erid of the draft. The continuing decline of low income'students participation rate may be attributed to the erosion of awards going to., the lowest income population whife awards to every other income group have increased.

- Independent student participation rates (age 18-24) showed a sharp increase between 1969 and 1974. The rates have been relatively stable since then. This is in the face of the fact that a smaller proportion of the population is independent in 198: than was the case in 1974. It is possible that early. student aid programs we $\vec{r} e$ important in helping independent students attend college, but we have no data with which to explore this possibility.


## Student choice

Does student aid affect college choice? Possibly. The relationship between the patterns of enrollment in public and private institutions and the changing availability of aid reported in the-data suggests that aid influences choice. There appears to have been a reduction in the participation of low yncome students in public collegesmelative to private institutions. Independent students have also foreased their participation rates at private colleges compared to public institutions. Independent students were still moke likely to attend a public college in 1981, however.

Roughly 60 percent of the students in private colleges reportt receiving some form of federal aid in 1981 compated ta 43 percent in public colleges. The increases in aid were greatest
in two -year public and four-year private schools. Self help aid is reported by one-half the students in private schools and 30 percent of the those in public.

The increase in participation rates for private instituLions is a reversal of a 1969 to 1974 trend in declining partcipation rates in private sector institutions which exceeded the drop in public colleges. Thus, the 1974-1981 data suggest that there was a reversal of an existing trend, as aid to middle and higher income groups increased.

## Final Comments

1
Enrollment in college is complex behavior. It is influenced by shifting cultural values, changes in the labor market, and changes in social policy. Student aid is but one factor among many that influence enrollment behavior. Three notable influences on enrollment which are not fully reflected in our analysis are:

- The increasing participation by women in postsecondary education. It does not appear that student aid is directly related to this increase:
- The age of the student. population. The traditional consumer of postsecondary education, aged 18-24, was likely to be joined in class by an increasing number of older individuals in 1981. The growing propensity for part-time enrollment appears to be related to the changing age mix on campus. These phenomena. represent a major change for postsecondary education. However, data
- on the age phenomenon are sparse. It is unlikely that student aid practices are primarily responsible for the changes, although the availability of student aid may have made a difference in making attendance possible.
- Changing economic conditions. The relationship between college enrollment, foregone, income and expected returns to education are not fully understood although there is some relationship. Both our years reflect different
- periods of economic activity--1974-was a recession year and 1981, was the end of a period of inflationary growth and the beginning of another recession.

Technical limitations on this study restrict us from drawing definitive conclusions from the data available to us. These limitations include differences in definition of income
categories between the two major data sources: The participation rate and student aíd analyses are based oñ overlapping income categories. This lessens the precision of possible. conciusions. All the data is based on self-reported data which is known for imprecision regarding financial status.. Finally, the information is based on a sample which is liable to sampling epor in reported values.

These limitations on our data--the presence of major changes on campus not related to student 'aid, and technical problems--force us to state our conclusions in comparative terms. Because the college enrollment decision is such a complex process, different results may be realized if different comparison years were used. Shifts in participation rates may take place over long periods of time. They reflect changes in attitudes and expectations, economic and labor'market conditions, and family structure. The understanding of participation rates using just one variable is difficult.
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# Fiscal 1983: Budget Targets Now Binding 

- With the beginning of the fiscal year Oct. 1 ; the preliminary budget targets set by Congress last June became binding limits on fiscal 1983 spending decisions.

Lawmakers included in the first resolution (S Con Res 92 ), cleared June 23, a provision stipulating that the budget guidelines set in that measure automatically would become binding if Congress did not approve a second resolution by the Oct. 1 beginning of the fiscal year. They thus bypassed provisions of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (PL 93-344) that mandated approval of a second resolution by Sept. 15:

Beset by election-year pressures stemming from a lingering recession and soaring federal deficits, members decided not to undertake a second resolution during this session. Although the validity of the figures in the first resolution wâs widely questioned, the House and Senate Budget committees put off until the 98th Congress any effort to revise or update them. (Action on $S$ Con Res 92. Weekly Report p. 1508)

Binding Budget Levels. Pending adoption of a revised resolution, congressional spending decisions for fiscal 1983 will be governed by provisions of $S$ Con Res 92 that called for budget authority of $\$ 822.39$ billion, outlays of $\$ 769.818$ billion, revenues of $\$ 665.9$ billion and a deficit of $\$ 103.918$ billion.

Spending legislation also must remain within the following limits for various program areas, as set in S Con Res 92 (in billions of dollars):


- Gàve the Depository Institutions Deregulation Committee (DIDC), an inter-agency regulatory body, two months to create an insured account for thrift institutions and banks that would be "directly equivalent to and competitive with" money market funds. The minimum-account requirement was not to exceed $\$ 5,000$.
- Removed any interest rate. differentials between banks and thrift institutions no later than January 1984. Currently, thrifts can pay a quarter percentage point more interest on certain deposits.
- Allowed S\&Ls to offer checking accounts, byt only to those businesses or entities that did loan business with the institution.
- Allowed S\&Ls to stabilize their income by putting as much as 10 percent of their assets into commercial loans by 1984. Traditionally, savings ${ }^{\text {. }}$ institutions have had most of their assets invested in low-yield, long-term $R^{\text {mortgages }}$ - one reason for their cur-
rent financial predicament. - Prohibited commercial banks from selling casualty and property insurance. Banks could still sell creditrelated insurance.
- Expanded the powers of both the FDIC and the FSLIC to assist troubled banks / and thrift institutions through mergers. Such mergers could not be mandated unless the institution had net worth of less than .5 percent and it was determined the institution's net worth would be exhausted in six months.
- Gave priority for such mergers to in-state acquisitions, followed byacy quisitions in contiguous states and other interstate acquisitions.
- Gave the National Credit Union Administration authority to approve mergers between insured credit unions when one faced financial trouble.
- Expanded the authority of bank service corporations, affiliates of two or more banks that provide various clerical services for their parent bank.

Under the bill, service corporations would be allowed to perform all the services of a state-chartered bank as well as some of those permitted a bank holding company.

- Overrode state laws barring due-on-sale clauses in home mortgage contracts. About 18 states have prohibited financial institutions from enforcing due-an-sale provisions in an effort to help promote home sales through mortgage assumptions when interest rates are high,
- Prohibited the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp: from implementing a ban on mortgage assump. tions until July 1, 1983;
- Excluded real estate brokers from provisions of the Truth-in-Lending Act so that they could continue helping with loan arrangements for home sales.
- Amended the Federal. Credit Union Act to simplify the organization of credit unions and broaden their mortgage lending powers.
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